

#### Received : 13/10/2015 | Accepted on : 17/10/2015 | Published : 27/10/2015

# Effect of Essential Oils of Five Medicinal Plants on Two Microbial Diseases of Potato and Tomato under Laboratory and Field Condition

Leili Alamshahi

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Zabol university, Zabol, Iran

Abstract - This study was conducted to assay the biocontrol efficiency of essential oils on two destructive plant diseases of potato and tomato, in vitro and under greenhouse conditions. In laboratory tests antibacterial effects of the essential oils extracted from Coriandrum sativum, Thymus vulgaris, Cuminum cyminum, Rosmarinus officinalis and Eucalyptus globulus in different concentrations were tested against Pectobacterium carotovorum (causal agent of soft rot in potato) and Ralstonia solanacearum (causal agent of bacterial wilt in potato and tomato). Thyme oil exhibited the highest antibacterial activity in cultured media for both plant pathogenic bacteria, so it was selected to apply in greenhouse experiments to determine bacterial wilt and soft rot incidence on potato and tomato. Treatment by thyme essential oil caused significant reduction in soft rot and bacterial wilt incidence on potato by 41 and 44%, respectively. The same treatment on tomato caused 50% reduction of wilt compared with the control sample. The obtained results showed that thyme oil had antibacterial effects on the tested bacteria on plate and under greenhouse conditions. Generally, the greenhouse results confirmed those of in vitro assays by reduction in bacterial wilt and soft rot incidence. However it showed stronger effects on controling bacterial wilt on tomato.

*Keywords* – Bacterial Wilt, Soft Rot, Thyme Oil, Antibacterial Effects.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Pectobacterium carotovorum pv. carotovorum and Ralstonia solanacearum are plant pathogens with a divers host range, including potato and tomato. Soft rot and bacterial wilt caused by P. carotovorum pv. carotovorum and R. solanacearum respectively are considered as dangerous diseases of potatoes. These diseases reduce the yield of plant production. These are among the major diseases transmitted by infected tubers and seeds of potato. Certain control measures have not been identified for these diseases [1] and the most effective way is to avoid planting the infected tubers, which is not considered as a method of definite prevention. It is very difficult to control soil-born diseases and efficiency of current methods to manage them is not significant [2]. Although using resistant cultivars is considered as an important part of integrated management but the process of creating resistant cultivars are long-term, costly and difficult [2]. In special geographic areas, the expression of resistant cultivars is often limited due to the influence of environmental factors on reactions of plant pathogens [2]. Moreover, for controlling plant bacterial disease, only copper compost and antibiotics, which are forbidden in a

#### Marzieh Hosseini Nezhad

Research Institute of Food Science and Technology (RIFST), Mashhad, Iran

large number of countries and their usage should be in compliance with some regulations in several other ones, are available on the market. As a matter of fact, there are no bactericides to be used in agricultural practice. In addition, using synthetic antimicrobial drugs/chemicals has not been identified as an efficient way regarding undesirable side effects [3]-[4]. So it is necessary to find biological control methods which are safe and environment friendly [5]. In recent years, using a large number of antimicrobial essential oils and their constituents has been considered as a reliable method of biological control [6]-[7]. Pradhanang et al. tested the bactericidal influence of thymol as a fumigant in soil and observed the reduction of bacterial wilt intensity on planted tomatoes. Bendaoud [9] indicated the inhibitory activity of eucalyptus on Agrobacterium tumefaciens. The Antibacterial effects of thyme and eucalyptus on R. solanacearum [10] and thymol on Xanthomonas axonopodis [11] were investigated. El-Zemity [12] showed the growth of *P. carotovorum* was inhibited by thyme oil. Although there are several researches confirmed the antibacterial effects of medicinal plants on pathogenic bacteria, but it has been stated that the yield and composition of essential oils for each species is affected by diverse factors such as physiological variations, environmental conditions and geographic variations genetic factors [13]. Therefore, antimicrobial characteristics of native Iranian medicinal plants have to be subjected for research studies. The present study aimed at investigating the antibacterial activity of essential oils (EOs) extracted from Coriandrum sativum, Thymus vulgaris, Cuminum cyminum, Rosmarinus officinalis and Eucalyptus globulus which are endemic Iranian plants against P. carotovorum and R. solanacearum in order to find the best oil and concentration that inhibit bacterial growth in vitro. Greenhouse experiments were further conducted to test the results obtained in vitro assays on inoculated-potato and tomato plants.

# **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## A. Bacterial cultures

Bacterial strains of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* and *Ralstonia solanacearum* (race 3, biovar 2) were provided by The Department of Plant Pathology, Isfahan University of Technology, Iran.

B. Preparation of essential oils

Leaves of *Thymus vulgaris*, *Rosmarinus officinalis* and *Eucalyptus globulus* were collected from Agricultural



Institute of Zabol University. Seeds of *Cuminum cyminum* and *Coriandrum sativum* were obtained from Research Institute of Food Science and technology, Mashad, Iran. The dried herb samples (100 g) were ground and subjected to hydro distillation for 3 hours using a Clevenger-type apparatus. The distillate oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and preserved in darkness and sealed vials at 4 °C until use.

# C. Laboratory tests

Agar disc diffusion method was employed for antibacterial assays. 0.1 ml of bacterial standardized suspensions containing  $10^8$  CFU/ml were inoculated on culture plates (9 cm) containing solid media. The inoculum was uniformly spread on the surface of plates and allowed to dry. Sartorius No.388 sterile filter paper disc (6 mm diameter) was dropped with 10 µg/disc of each EO solutions with concentrations of 0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 75 and 100% in absolute ethanol. Negative control was prepared using the same solvent (absolute ethanol) while antibiotics of streptomycin (10 µg/disc) and erythromycin (15 µg/disc) were used as positive controls. The diameter of inhibition zones was measured after incubation at 28 °C for 24 h.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was performed according to the modified procedure of Kivanc and Akgul (14). Bacterial strains were cultured overnight in nutrient broth at 28 °C. Then, 5 ml volume of  $10^8$  CFU/ml microbial suspensions were incubated in a series of tubes containing 50 µg of each oil concentrations. After overnight incubation, MIC was defined as the lowest concentration with no visible growth. The MBC was defined by sub-culturing the tubes with no growth on agar plates to determine whether the inhibition was reversible or permanent. MBC was the lowest concentration which killed bacteria.

## D. Growth of plants

The greenhouse pots were filled with soil mixture prepared from sand, compost, and clay at the ratio of 1:1:1. The soil was sterilized at 121 °C for 20 min and filled in a sterilized pot. Pots of 20 cm diameter were filled

with two kilograms sterile soil into which two eyepieces of potato (cultivar fontane) were planted. To plant tomato, sterilized soil was filled into each 6 cm diameter pots planted with one seed of tomato (cultivar mobile Hungary). The plants were maintained in a greenhouse at temperatures of 28-30 °C and 60% relative humidity and seedlings were watered when necessary. The plants were fertilized with NPK (16-16-16) as soil drenched with the fertilizer at a concentration of 340 g/ml every seven days.

# E. Oil treatment

After one month of planting, soil of each pot was drenched with 100 ml of oil solution at concentration of 1000  $\mu$ g per liter soil. The stable oil solution was prepared by dissolving pure oil in 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) + 1% surfactant Tween 80 in water.

## F. Bacterial contamination

48 hours after treatment with EOs, potato and tomato pots were contaminated with pathogenic strains. Soil of each pot was drenched with the overnight bacteria suspension  $(10^8 \text{ cfu/ml})$  at the rate of 100 ml/pot. Potato plants were inoculated with strain R. solanacearum and P. carotovorum separately, and tomatoes were inoculated with strain R. solanacearum by the same method [15]. There were four groups treatments in greenhouse experiments including first group untreated contaminatedplants (positive control), second group treated contaminated-plants with EO, third group treated noncontaminated plants (to investigate the allopathic properties of thyme oil on foliage or root of potato and tomato plants) and finally forth group untreated noncontaminated plants (negative control).

## G. Disease assessment

The plants started to show wilting and rotting about 10 day after inoculation while within twenty days after treatment, every symptom of wilting on potato and tomato were observed and recorded as well as all signs of soft rot on potato plants. Plant shoot and root weight were measured to evaluate effect of oil on plant growth. Roots were washed thoroughly to remove soil before measurement.

| Essential oils | Concentrations(%) |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|----------------|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                | 0                 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.1  | 0.5  | 1    | 5     | 10    | 25    | 50    | 75    | 100   |
| C. sativum     | 0m                | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m    | 0m    | 6.61  | 7.1k  | 7.8j  | 9.1h  |
| C. cyminum     | 0m                | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m    | 6.51  | 7.1k  | 8j    | 9h    | 9.6g  |
| T. vulgaris    | 0m                | 0m   | 0m   | 6.51 | 7.8j | 8.5i | 10.if | 11.8e | 13.1d | 22.8c | 29.6b | 34.8a |
| R. officinalis | 0m                | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m    | 0m    | 6.51  | 8j    | 8.8hi | 11.8e |
| E. globulus    | 0m                | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m   | 0m    | 0m    | 0m    | 0m    | 0m    | 6.51  |

Table 1. Antibacterial activity of the essential oils against R. solanacearum

Diameter of inhibition zones includs diameter of disc (6 mm)

Concentration %0 refers to paper discs containing only ethanol (Negative control)

values followed by the same letter indicates no significant difference according to Duncan's

multiple range test at P < 0.05

The disease index of bacterial wilt was recorded based on a scale of 0–4 as described by Kempe and Sequeira [16] and disease index of soft rot was recorded based on a scale of 0-8 that 0 for the health plants without any signs of rotting and 8 for dead plants. Disease incidence and biocontrol efficiency were calculated as follows:



Disease incidence = [P (The number of diseased plants in this index \_ Disease index)/ (Total number of plants investigated \_ the highest disease index)]  $\times 100$ .

Biocontrol efficacy = [(Disease incidence of control \_ Disease incidence of treatment)/Disease incidence of control] ×100.

The fresh weight data was taken by weighing the above and underground part, and the cuts were then oven-dried at 60 °C for 72 h, and dry weight was recorded. Furthermore, biomass increase was calculated with the following formula:

Biomass increase = [(Average weights of plants treated with oil\_ Average fresh weights of control plants)/Average weights of control plants]  $\times 100$ .

#### H. Statistical analysis

All tests were replicated three times. Data were carried out by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the differences in mean were calculated for significance at P < 0.05 using Duncan's multiple range test (MSTATC software).

# **III. RESULTS**

#### A. In vitro assay

All EOs showed inhibition effects on two tested pathogens, however, sizes of inhibition zone were different depended on kind and concentration of oils. Thyme leaf EO possessed stronger antibacterial activity compared to the other tested oils, so that the highest inhibition zone was measured 34.8 mm on *R. solanacearum* (more than that of streptomycin- 22 mm) (Table 1).

After thyme, rosemary oil showed moderate antibacterial effect on both R. solanacearum and P. carotovorum (16.5 and 11.8 mm respectively) (Table 1 and 2). Negative controls did not show any antibacterial effect against the tested pathogens. In addition, R. solanacearum were resistant to standard antibiotic erythromycin. Antibacterial effect of the EOs was directly related to the concentrations, so higher diameters of zones were defined at 50, 75 and 100% concentration. MIC value of thyme oil exhibited more efficiency than the other tested EOs with 1 and 5 µg ml-1 on R. solanacearum and P. carotovorum respectively. However, MIC and MBC values were seen very varied in different oils from 1 to 1000 µg ml-1.

| Table 2. Antibacterial | activity of the | essential oils against P. | carotovorum |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------|
|                        |                 |                           |             |

| Essential oils | Concentrations(%) |      |      |     |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |        |
|----------------|-------------------|------|------|-----|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
|                | 0                 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.5   | 1     | 5    | 10    | 25    | 50    | 75    | 100    |
| C. sativum     | 0n                | 0n   | 0n   | 0n  | 0n    | 0n    | 8hi  | 9.1g  | 10f   | 10f   | 10.6e | 11.17d |
| C. cyminum     | 0n                | 0n   | 0n   | 0n  | 0n    | 0n    | 0n   | 6.6m  | 7.1kl | 7.1kl | 7.5jk | 7.5jk  |
| T. vulgaris    | 0n                | 0n   | 0n   | 0n  | 7.1kl | 7.6ij | 9.5g | 10.5e | 14c   | 15.6b | 16b   | 16.5a  |
| R. officinalis | 0n                | 0n   | 0n   | 0n  | 0n    | 0n    | 0n   | 0n    | 6.6m  | 7.1kl | 7.1kl | 8.1h   |
| E. globulus    | 0n                | 0n   | 0n   | 0n  | 0n    | 0n    | 0n   | 0n    | 0n    | 0n    | 6.8lm | 7.6ij  |

Diameter of inhibition zones includes diameter of disc (6 mm)

Concentration %0 refers to paper discs containing only ethanol (Negative control)

values followed by the same letter indicates no significant difference according to Duncan's multiple range test at P < 0.05

#### B. Greenhouse experiments

Table 3, 4, 5 and 6 summarize the result of assaying biologically control of thyme oil on bacterial wilt and soft rot diseases under greenhouse conditions. Generally, there was significant reduction in bacterial wilt and sot rot incidence while plant shoot and root weights were increased. Root and shoot weights of negative controls and treated non-contaminated plants were not affected significantly. In this experiment, over 80% of untreated contaminated plants (positive control) wilted and showed symptoms of soft rot. Even though, none of untreated non-contaminated potatoes and tomatos (negative control) wilted or rotted. Moreover, there were not any abnormal symptoms on foliage and root of treated non-contaminated plants.

According to the table 3, disease incidence was 40.67% in treated inoculated-potatoes by *P. carotovorum* compare to the positive control (82.33%). Likewise, by applying thyme oil in potato soil, dry and fresh weight were increased significantly (P < 0.05) by more than 24.30 gr in fresh weight root and 1.9 gr in dry weight foliage compare to the untreated control (19.78 gr and 1.33 gr, respectively) (Table 3).

Results of fresh and dry weight showed significant differences between positive controls and treated-potatoes inoculated with *R. solanacearum* (Table 4). Fresh and dry root and foliage in wilted plants (positive control) were also reduced. Wilt incidence was 36.67% in treated contaminated potatoes which was significantly less than positive control (80.67%) (Table 4).

The average disease incidence on tomato is listed in Table 5. Based on these results, percentage of disease on plants treated by thyme (27%) was considerably less than that of the positive control (77.33%). In addition, there were significant differences between treated infected tomatoes and positive control samples on both dry and fresh weight (Table 5).

As shown in Table 6, the highest disease control was obtained by 64.73% in bacterial wilt on treated tomatoes, whereas thyme reduced the symptoms of wilt and rot on contaminated potatoes by 53.85% and 50.2%, respectively. The biomass of root and foliage of treated contaminated tomatoes with *R. solanacearum* were increased by 39.84% and 38.04%, respectively, while those of treated infected potatoes were increased by 23.25% and 22.30%, respectively (Table 6).



# **International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research** Volume 4, Issue 2, ISSN (Online) 2319-1473

Table 3: Disease incidence of applying thyme oil on potatoes contaminated by *P. carotovorum* in greenhouse

|  |              | -            |              | -          |             |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| Treatment                                  | Disease      | Fresh weight | Fresh weight | Dry weight | Dry weight  |
|  | incidence(%) | root(gr)     | foliage (gr) | root(gr)   | foliage(gr) |
| Positive control <sup>a</sup>              | 82.33a       | 19.78b       | 12.84b       | 1.18b      | 1.33b       |
| Treated contaminated-plant                 | 40.67b       | 24.30ab      | 15.51b       | 1.50b      | 1.95ab      |
| Treated noncontaminated-plant <sup>b</sup> | 0c           | 32.08a       | 18.98a       | 1.97a      | 2.14a       |
| Negative control <sup>c</sup>              | 0c           | 32.09a       | 18.47a       | 1.91a      | 2.017a      |

<sup>a</sup> untreaterd contaminated plant

<sup>b</sup>vehicle [0.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) + 0.1\% Tween 80 + thyme oil 0.5\% + water].

<sup>c</sup>untreated non-contaminated plant

Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at (P < 0.05) according to Duncan Multiple Range Test.

| Treatment                                   | Disease       | Fresh weight | Fresh weight | Dry weight | Dry weight |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
|   | incidence (%) | root (gr)    | shoot (gr)   | root (gr)  | shoot (gr) |
| Positive control <sup>a</sup>               | 80.67a        | 14.47c       | 12.79c       | 1.31c      | 1.84b      |
| Treated contaminated plant                  | 36.67b        | 18.88b       | 16.55b       | 2.61b      | 2.69a      |
| Treated non-contaminated plant <sup>b</sup> | 0c            | 22.82a       | 23.55a       | 3.0a       | 3.26a      |
| Negative control <sup>c</sup>               | 0c            | 23.08a       | 23.32a       | 3.08a      | 3.25a      |

<sup>a</sup> untreaterd contaminated plant

<sup>b</sup>vehicle [0.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) + 0.1% Tween 80 + thyme oil 0.5% + water].

<sup>c</sup>untreated non-contaminated plant

Values in the same columns followed by the same letters are not significantly different at (P<0.05) according to Duncan Multiple Range Test.

| <b>T</b> • •                  | D'                      | Г 1        | • 1 4    | Г 1     | • 1 4            | D       | • 1 /          | D       | • 1. |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|---------|------------------|---------|----------------|---------|------|
| Table 5: Disease incidence of | f applying thyme oil of | on tomatoe | es conta | minated | by <i>R. s</i> a | olanace | <i>arum</i> in | greenho | ouse |

| Treatment                                  | Disease      | Fresh weight | Fresh weight | Dry weight | Dry weight |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
|  | incidence(%) | root(gr)     | shoot(gr)    | root(gr)   | shoot(gr)  |
| Positive control <sup>a</sup>              | 77.33 a      | 1.22c        | 1.98c        | 0.15b      | 0.29b      |
| Treated contaminated plant                 | 27b          | 2.03b        | 3.2b         | 0.32a      | 0.47a      |
| Treated noncontaminated plant <sup>b</sup> | 0c           | 2.57a        | 4.16a        | 0.40a      | 0.53a      |
| Negative control <sup>c</sup>              | 0c           | 2.69a        | 4.19a        | 0.40a      | 0.54a      |

<sup>a</sup> untreaterd contaminated plant

<sup>b</sup>vehicle [0.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) + 0.1\% Tween 80 + thyme oil 0.5% + water].

<sup>c</sup>untreated non-contaminated plant

Values in the same columns followed by the same letters are not significantly different at (P<0.05) according to Duncan Multiple Range Test

# **IV. DISCUSSION**

The results of current study showed that thyme oil had effective antibacterial effects against the tested bacteria in the culture media along with it reduced two dangerous antibacterial wilt and soft rot incidence on potato and tomato plants under natural conditions, afterward the greenhouse results confirmed those of in vitro assays.

Several reports confirm the efficiency of thyme on inhibition of phytopathogenic bacteria in greenhouse. Antibacterial activity of thyme was shown 69% against Xanthomonas citri pv. citri [17]. Also, Lucas et al. observed disease reduction against Xanthomonas vesicatoria with using thyme oil at concentration of 0.1% [18]. Recently, several researches were conducted on assaying different oils against Ralstonia and Pectobacterium which shows the importance of issue.

Table 6: Biocontrol efficiency and Biomass increase in bacterial contaminated plants which were treated with thyme oil in greenhouse

| Disease        | <b>Biocontrol Efficiency</b> | <b>Biomass Increase Root</b> | Biomass Increase Foliage |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Soft rot       | 50.2a                        | 18.55b                       | 17.33b                   |  |  |  |  |
| Bacterial wilt | 53.85a                       | 23.25b                       | 22.30b                   |  |  |  |  |

Values in the same columns followed by the same letters are not significantly different at (P < 0.05) according to Duncan Multiple Range Test.

Values in percent



Jeong [19] used *Cymbopogon* sp. oil at concentration of 0.5% growth of *P. carotovorum* and observed complete inhibition activity. Vukovic [20] demonstrated that *Teucrium* sp. had antibacterial influence on *P. carotovorum*. Moreover, Biavati [21] represented antibacterial activity of *Satureja* sp. and *Thymbra* on *P. carotovorum*. Also, Vokou [22] demonstrated antibacterial effects of rosemary on infected-tubers with *P. carotovorum*. Ji [23] tested thymol on Ralstonia under field condition and observed suppression of bacterial wilt by treating with oil.

Results of other researches displayed that presence of thymol and carvacrol substances in some plants are responsible for their bactericidal activities [6]. *Thymus vulgaris* contains high quantities of thymol which potent, antibacterial activity of thyme oil. As the main mode of action of EOs is membrane structure disturbance and release of lipids to the cytoplasm, it makes them more permeable [24].

In our greenhouse tests, we observed a high reduction in disease occurred by the activity of thyme on both bacterial wilt and soft rot (64% and 50% respectively). Components of the oils may induce sort of plant systemic resistance which cause a reduction in disease incidence when the soil is drenched by the oil 48 h before it contaminated with bacteria species. It is documented that the plants had defense mechanisms against pathogen attacks, and some of these mechanisms are induced by biotic and non biotic materials. The resistance induced is distinguished by limitation of pathogen growth and suppression of disease symptoms progress [25].

# **V. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the thyme oil has proven to be consistently efficient in the integrated control bacterial wilt and soft rot disease under greenhouse conditions. Even though other greenhouse and field studies should be undertaken to confirm the effectiveness of EOs under natural conditions and the dose of usage to obtain reasonable disease biocontrol efficiency and economic benefits.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.N. Agrios, Plant pathology. Amsterdam: Elsevier Academic Press.2008.
- [2] J.M. Enfinger, S.M. McCarter and C.A. Jaworski, Evaluation of chemicals and application methods for control of bacterial wilt of tomato transplants. Phytopathology 1979. 69:637-640.
- [3] A.C. Hayward, Biology and epidemiology of bacterial wilt caused by *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. Annals Review Phytopathology 1991. 29:65–87.
- [4] I. Telci, O.G. Toncer and N. Sahbaz, Essential oil content and composition of *Coriandrum sativum* varieties (var. vulgare Alef and var. microcarpum DC.) grown in two different locations. Journal of Essential Oil Research 2006. 18:189-193.
- [5] Q.Y. Xue, Y. Chen, S.M.Li, L.F. Chen, G.C. Ding, D.W. Guo and J.H. Guo, Evaluation of the strains of Acinetobacter and Enterobacter as potential biocontrol agents against Ralstonia wilt of tomato. Biological Control 2008. 48:252–258.

- [6] D. Kalemba and A. Kunicka, Antibacterial and antifungal properties of essential oils. Current Medicinal Chemistry 2003. 10:813–829.
- [7] M. Vasinauskiene, J. Radusiene, I. Zitikaite and E. Surviliene, Antibacterial activities of essential oils from aromatic and medicinal plants against growth of phytopathogenic bacteria. Agronomy Research 2006. 4:437-440.
- [8] P.M. Pradhanang, M.T. Momol, S.M. Olson and J.B.Jones, Effects of plant essential oils on *Ralstonia solanacearum* population density and bacterial wilt incidence in tomato. Plant Disease 2003. 87:423-427.
- [9] H. Bendaoud, J. Bouajila, A. Rhouma, A. Savagnac and M. Romdhane, GC/MS. analysis and antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of essential oil of *Eucalyptus radiata*. Journal of Science and Food Agriculture 2009. 89:1292-1297.
- [10] S. Hosseinzadeh, M.S. Bakhsh and E. Hosseinzadeh, Effects of sub-bactericidal concentration of plant essential oils on pathogenicity factors of *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Phytopathology and plant protectection 2013. 46:643-655.
- [11] R. Kotan, F. Dadasoglu, S.Kordali, A.Cakır, N.Dikbas and R.Cakmakc, Antibacterial activity of essential oils extracted from some medicinal plants, carvacrol and thymol on *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *vesicatoria* (Doidge) Dye causes bacterial spot disease on pepper and tomato. Journal of Agriculture and Technology 2007. 3:299-306.
- [12] S.R. El-Zemity, M.A. Radwan, S.A.E.M. Mohamad and S.M. Sherby, Antibacterial screening of some essential oils, monoterpenoids and novel *N*-methyl carbamates based on monoterpenoids against *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *Erwinia carotovora*. Phytopathology and Plant Protectection 2008. 41:451-461.
- [13] R.Di- Pasqua, G.Betts, N. Hoskins, M. Edwards, D. Ercolini and G. Mauriello, Membrane toxicity of antimicrobial compounds from essential oils. Journal of Agriculture, Food and Chemistry 2007.55:4863–4870.
- [14] M. Kivanc and M. Akgul, Antibacterial activities of essential oils from turkish species and citrus. Flavor and Fragrance Journal 1986. 1:175-179.
- [15] O.D. Dhingra and J.B. Sinclair, Basic Plant Pathology Methods. 2nd ed. USA, CRC Press.1995.
- [16] J. Kempe and L. Sequeira, Biological control of bacterial wilt of potatoes: Attempts to induce resistance by treating tubers with bacteria. Plant Disease 1983.67:499-503.
- [17] S. Samavi, N. Hassanzadeh, M.M. Faghihi and Y. Rezaee Danesh, Effects of thyme (zaatar) essential oil and some chemical compounds in the control of citrus bacterial canker in Iran. Journal of Plant Pathology 2009. 91:691-696.
- [18] G.C. Lucas, E. Alves, R.B. Pereira, F.G. Perina and R.M.Souza, Antibacterial activity of essential oils on *Xanthomonas vesicatoria* and control of bacterial spot in tomato. Brazilian Agricultural Research 2012. 47:351-359.
- [19] M.R. Jeong, P.B. Park, D.H. Kim, Y.S. Jang, H.S.Jeong and S.H.Choi, Essential oil prepared from *Cymbopogon citrates* exerted an antimicrobial activity against plant pathogenic and medical microorganisms. Microbiology 2009. 37:48-52.
- [20] N.Vukovic, T. Milosevic, S. Sukdolak and S. Solujic, Antimicrobial activities of essential oil and methanol extract of *Teucrium montanum*. Evid-Based Complement Alternative Medicines 2007. 4:17–20.
- [21] B. Biavati, M. Ozcan and R. Piccaglia, Composition and antimicrobial properties of *Satureja cuneifolia* and *Thymbra sintenisii* Bornm. essential oils. Annals of Microbiology 2004. 54: 393-401.
- [22] D. Vokou, D. Vareltzidou and P. Katinakis, Effects of aromatic plants on potato storage: sprout suppression and antimicrobial activity. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment 1993. 47:223-235.
- [23] P.Ji, M.T. Momol, J.R. Rich, S.M. Olson, J.B. Jones, Development of an integrated approach for managing bacterial wilt and root-knot on tomato under field conditions. Plant Disease 2007 91:1321-1326.
- [24] J. Sikkema, J.A.M. De Bont and B. Poolman, Interactions of cyclic hydrocarbons with biological membranes. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1994. 269:8022-8028.



[25] D.R. Walters, D. Walsh, A.C. Newton and G.D. Lyon, Induced resistance for plant disease control: maximizing the efficacy of resistance elicitors. Phytopathology 2005. 95:1368-1373.

# **AUTHOR'S PROFILE**



## Leili Alamshahi

Place and Date of Birth: Gorgan, Iran. 23/09/1978 Educational background: Master of Science in Plant Pathology, Zabol University, Zabol, Iran. Bachelor of Science in Agricultural Engineering, Plant protection Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran.

*Work experience*: Technical Director of Plant Protection Clinic, Khorasan Agricultural Jihad Organization. Mashhad,

Iran Email:alamshahi.4600@gmail.com



# Marzieh Hosseini Nezhad

Place and Date of Birth: Mashad, Iran. 22/06/1968 Educational background: PhD in Food Biotechnology, Melbourne University, Australia. Work experience: Assistant Professor, Head of Food Biotechnology Dept Research Institute of Food Science and Technology (RIFST), Mashhad, Iran

Email: m.hosseininezhad@rifst.ac.ir; hosseinynejad@yahoo.com